UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/849,409	05/18/2004	Richard L. Sites	07844-635001	6976
²¹⁸⁷⁶ FISH & RICH <i>A</i>	7590 04/10/200 ARDSON P.C.	8	EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			FUJITA, KATRINA R	
MIINNEAPOLI	5, MIN 5544U-1U22		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Intonvious Cumpmons	10/849,409 SITES, RICI		D L.			
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	KATRINA FUJITA	2624				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>Katrina Fujita</u> .	(3) <u>Arrienne Lezak, Reg.</u> #	<u>51,943</u> .				
(2) <u>Vikkram Bali</u> .	(4) <u>Brian Gustafson, Reg.</u> ‡	<u>‡52,798</u> .				
Date of Interview: <u>08 April 2008</u> .						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-21 and 54-81</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Koshi et al. (US 5,701,367).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)⊡ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>A general discussion of Applicant's invention and claim language was conducted.</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Vikkram Bali/					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Supervisory Patent Examiner Examiner's signature, if requi					

Application No.

Applicant(s)